## APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO CHANGE POINT OF DIVERSION, MANNER OF USE AND PLACE OF USE OF THE PUBLIC WATERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA HERETOFORE APPROPRIATED

Date of filing in State Engi	neer's Office MAR $26$ 1993
Returned to applicant for c	orrectionAPR 1 5 1993
	Map filed MAR 26 1993 under 58668
C	inton C Dowitt In and Cail Dowitt
••	inton C. Dewitt Jr., and Gail Dewitt
	o. or P.O. Box No. City or Town
Nevada 89423 State	hereby make application for permission to change the
Point of Diver	sion and Place of Use of a Portion Point of diversion, manner of use, and/or place of use
of water heretofore approp	riated under Permit 49549  Identify existing right by Permit, Certificate, Proof or Claim Nos. If Decreed, give title of Decree and
identify right in Decree.	
1. The source of water is	Underground Name of stream, lake, underground spring or other source.
2. The amount of water to	be changed 0.0130 CFS not to exceed 1.2952 MGA Second feet, acre feet. One second foot equals 448.83 gallons per minute.
3. The water to be used f	or
4. The water heretofore p	ermitted for Commercial and Domestic  Irrigation, power, mining, industrial, etc. If for stock state number and kind of animals.
	erted at the following point Within the NNA NEX. Section 6, T.14N., R.20E.  Describe as being within a 40-acre subdivision of public survey and by course and
distance to a section corner. If of	nt a point from which the N½ corner of said Section bears North unsurveyed land, it should be stated.  I distance of 1059 feet.
	point of diversion is located within the NW NEW Section 6. T. 14N., R. 20E., If point of diversion is not changed, do not answer.
	t a point from which the N½ corner of said Section 6 bears
	20" West, a distance of 1387.2 feet.
7. Proposed place of use T.14N., R.20E as shown on the	is 3.0 acres within a portion of the NWA NEW of Section 6. M.D.B.&M., Pescribe by legal subdivisions. If for irrigation state number of acres to be irrigated. Supporting map (Parcels 1, 2, and 3 as shown on Parcel Map #2
	ok 777 at Page 1039 as Document NO. 11246, Douglas County, Nevada
	•
	is within a portion of the NW4 NE4, and a portion of the NE4  Describe by legal subdivisions. If permit is for irrigation, state number of acres irrigated. If changing place of use and/or 6, T.14N., R.20E., M.D.B.&M., as shown on the supporting map. it, describe acreage to be removed from irrigation.
9. Use will be from	January 1 to December 31 of each year.  Month and Day Month and Day
10. Use was permitted from	n January 1 to December 31 of each year.  Month and Day Month and Day
	d works. (Under the provisions of NRS 535.010 you may be required to submit plans and
specifications of your c	iversion or storage works.) <u>Well</u> , <u>pump</u> , <u>storage</u> <u>tank</u> , <u>and distribution</u> State manner in which water is to be diverted, i.e. diversion structure,
	led well, etc.
	s \$25,000
13. Estimated time required	i to construct works one year

	ation of water to beneficial use two years
15. Remarks: For use other than irrigation or sto consumptive use:	ock watering, state number and type of units to be served or annual
See attached	
	By s/Clinton C. Dewitt Jr. P. O. Box 247
Compared bc/bc	Minden NV 89423
rotested	
	OF STATE ENGINEER
ollowing limitations and conditions:	oregoing application, and do hereby grant the same, subject to the
	•
The amount of water to be changed shall be limite	ed to the amount which can be applied to beneficial use, and not to
•	••
kceed	cubic feet per second
cceed	cubic feet per second
Vork must be prosecuted with reasonable diligence	and be completed on or before
Vork must be prosecuted with reasonable diligence	and be completed on or before
Vork must be prosecuted with reasonable diligence roof of completion of work shall be filed before	and be completed on or before
Vork must be prosecuted with reasonable diligence roof of completion of work shall be filed before	and be completed on or before
Vork must be prosecuted with reasonable diligence roof of completion of work shall be filed before	and be completed on or before
Vork must be prosecuted with reasonable diligence roof of completion of work shall be filed before	and be completed on or before
Work must be prosecuted with reasonable diligence troof of completion of work shall be filed before  Application of water to beneficial use shall be made troof of the application of water to beneficial use shall be filed in support of proof of beneficial use shall be formulated to be shall be filed	and be completed on or before
Vork must be prosecuted with reasonable diligence proof of completion of work shall be filed before  Application of water to beneficial use shall be made proof of the application of water to beneficial use shall be filed in support of proof of beneficial use shall be filed	and be completed on or before
Vork must be prosecuted with reasonable diligence Proof of completion of work shall be filed before	ed to the amount which can be applied to beneficial use, and not tocubic feet per second

15. The applicants purchased real property located in Douglas County in 1977. The purchase was from Clear Creek Enterprises, Inc.

The applicants knew, or assumed they were purchasing certain water as appurtenances to their property. But they also knew that they couldn't immediately prove beneficial use of sufficient water, since they were unsure of their needs. At the time of purchasing the property, the seller represented that it was forming a water company and that it could provide water to the racketball facility, as well as provide the water works to deliver water owned by the applicants. Further, it was understood that the applicants herein would then be demonstrating beneficial use of water rights which they, the applicants, would acquire.

The agreement to deliver water had a term limit on it, but it was assumed that the water company to be formed would continue to deliver water to all businesses within the subdivision. This was undoubtedly included by inference in the approval by Douglas County.

At any rate, Clear Creek Enterprises, Inc. ultimately sold to one Edward Roberts.

Mr. Roberts has made clear, verbally and in writing, that he will under no circumstance continue to serve as a method of delivery of water to the applicants, upon expiration of the agreement. He has also stated to the applicants that he would not allow the use of any of his property, or for that matter, public easements, for the placement of a well, storage tank, water lines or any other water works to provide an alternate source of water.

This being the situation, the applicants are left with absolutely no choice but to drill a well on their own property to access the water which has heretofore been delivered, pursuant to contract, by Mr. Roberts.